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#### Abstract

Previously disclosed dihydropyrazolopyrimidines are potent and selective blockers of $I_{\text {Kur }}$ current. A potential liability with this chemotype is the formation of a reactive metabolite which demonstrated covalent binding to protein in vitro. When substituted at the 2 or 3 position, this template yielded potent $\mathrm{I}_{\text {Kur }}$ inhibitors, with selectivity over $h E R G$ which did not form reactive metabolites. Subsequent optimization for potency and PK properties lead to the dis-  covery of ((S)-5-(methoxymethyl)-7-(1-methyl-1H-indol-2-yl)-2-(trifluoromethyl)-4,7-dihydropyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-6-yl)-((S)-2-(3-methylisoxazol-5-yl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)methanone (13j), with an acceptable PK profile in preclinical species and potent efficacy in the preclinical rabbit atrial effective refractory period (AERP) model.


## INTRODUCTION

Atrial fibrillation (AF) is a condition in which the normal heart rhythm is disrupted by rapid activity in areas of the atria. AF is the most common form of sustained cardiac arrhythmia, and the prevalence is increasing as the population continues to age. ${ }^{1}$ AF is projected to affect an estimated 5.6 million patients in the US by $2050 .{ }^{2}$ In addition to significantly affecting quality of life, AF is also associated with a 3 -fold higher incidence of stroke and a 2 -fold increase in mortality. ${ }^{3}$ Current therapies for treatment of AF include antithrombotic, rate control, or rhythm control. The termination of AF and restoring of normal sinus rhythm (rhythm control) is typically achieved with antiarrhythmic drugs. ${ }^{4}$ Currently available antiarrhythmic drugs target ion channels which are expressed in the human atrium and ventricle, for example, amiodarone/dronedarone, ${ }^{5}$ dofetilide, ${ }^{6}$ flecainide, ${ }^{7}$ and sotalol. ${ }^{8}$ Inhibition of ventricular ion channels can lead to prolongation of ventricular effective refractory period and the potentially life threatening arrhythmia torsades de pointe. ${ }^{9}$ Initial administration of nonselective rhythm control drugs is, therefore, often limited to a hospital setting where monitoring of ventricular effects are required. Thus, there is currently an unmet medical need for safe and efficacious treatment of AF.
$\mathrm{I}_{\text {Kur }}$ is a delayed rectifier repolarization potassium current encoded by the $K_{v} 1.5$ gene in humans ${ }^{10}$ which is functionally expressed in the human atrium and not in the ventricle.

Selective inhibition of $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{Kur}}$ leads to a prolongation in effective refractory period and should terminate AF without being proarrhythmic in the ventricle, leading to a potentially safer treatment for patients with AF. ${ }^{11}$

We have disclosed dihydropyrazolopyrimidines $\mathbf{1 , 2}$, and $\mathbf{3}$ as potent and selective blockers of $\mathrm{I}_{\text {Kur }}$ (Figure 1). ${ }^{12-14}$ Substitution at C7 indicated that a 2,3-dichloro or 3,4-dichloro aryl group was preferred to maintain potency and selectivity for $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{v}} 1.5$. Metabolite identification studies on compounds 1 and 2 established the major routes of hepatic clearance as hydroxylation and aromatization of the dihydropyrazolopyrimidine core. Significantly, in the course of in vitro metabolite identification studies, the formation of covalently bound glutathione (GSH) adducts were observed when these compounds were incubated with liver microsomes in the presence of GSH. The formation of a reactive metabolite was confirmed when the covalently bound protein adduct of radio-labeled compound 2 was subsequently identified. ${ }^{15}$ This was of concern as compounds which form reactive intermediates, (for example, those containing unsubstituted thiophenes) ${ }^{16}$ and which irreversibly bind to protein in the liver can be hepatotoxic and have the additional liability of potential idiosyncratic toxicity when administered to a diverse patient population. ${ }^{17}$ The site of
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Figure 1. Dihydropyrazolopyrimidines which form reactive intermediates.
Scheme $1^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: yields (a) DMF, NaOAc, $65{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 14 \mathrm{~h}$, yield range $27-60 \%$.
reactive intermediate formation was determined using MS/MS fragmentation analysis, indicating oxidation of the fused pyrazole ring.

We therefore focused our optimization efforts on blocking the reactive intermediate formation by substitution at the C2 and C3 positions on the fused pyrazole ring.

The first series of C2 substituted analogues containing a C6 phenylpiperazine amide were obtained from the general sequence utilizing the three-component, one-pot Biginelli reaction and commercially available amino-pyrazoles (Scheme 1). Synthesis of additional C2 and C3 substituted dihydropyrazolopyrimidines required initial preparation of noncommercially available amino-pyrazoles. Noncommercially available 3 -substituted-1 $H$ -pyrazol-5-amines were synthesized from the corresponding amino pyrazoles using a multistep sequence, for example, compound 8 , Scheme $2 .^{18-21}$ We had previously disclosed SAR in the piperazine amide series, including characterization of the C7 antipodes and determined that $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{v}} 1.5$ potency was retained in one antipode only. ${ }^{14}$ Additional compounds in the piperazine amide series were synthesized and screened as racemates (Table 1) and the SAR utilized in pyrrolo amide series where the diastereomers were separated and characterized (Table 2 and Table 5).
A modified route was also subsequently used to allow installation of the C6 amide functionality at the final step (Scheme 3).

Compounds containing C2 and C3 alkyl and halogen substituents were also prepared wherein the C6 phenylpiperazine amide substituent was replaced with the phenyl pyrrolo amide analogous to that found in compound 2 by the same general methods described in Schemes 1, 2, and 3. Additionally, C2 and C3 substituted compounds wherein the C6 substituent is a heterocyclo-pyrrolo group and the C7 substituent was replaced by a heterocycle were also prepared by essentially the same method utilizing a protection and deprotection sequence to improve the yield in the amide formation step (Scheme 4).

Scheme $2^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) toluene, $120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4 \mathrm{~h}, 84 \%$ yield; (b) HCl , dioxane, isoamyl nitrate, $-10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $98 \%$ yield; (c) $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I}) \mathrm{Cl}$, ether, $\mathrm{MeOH},-10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 86 \%$ yield; (d) $\mathrm{AcOH}, 90 \% \mathrm{HNO}_{3}$, acetic anhydride, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $32 \%$ yield; (e) anisole, $130{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}, 100 \%$ yield; (f) $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$, hydrogen, $50 \mathrm{psi}, 95 \%$ yield; (g) $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}, \mathrm{DMF}$, $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 72 \mathrm{~h}, 24 \%$ yield.

In the case wherein the C6 substituent was (S)-3-methyl-5-(pyrrolidin-2-yl) isoxazole amide, the noncommercial pyrrolo

Table 1. C2 and C3-Substituted Phenylpiperazine Amides


| compd | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | X | $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{v}} 1.5 \mathrm{IC}_{50}, \mu \mathrm{M}^{b}$ | \% inhibition of $h \mathrm{ERG}$ <br> current at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}^{a}$ | H | H | F | 0.070 | $42 \pm 2.7$ |
| $\mathbf{5 a}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | H | F | 0.124 | $60 \pm 1.9$ |
| 5b | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | H | H | 0.149 | $29 \pm 10$ |
| 5c | $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ | H | F | 0.419 | NT |
| 5d | H | Cl | F | $24 \%^{c}$ | NT |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | Cl | H | F | 0.209 | NT |

${ }^{a} \mathrm{C} 7$ enantiomers separated and active antipode included. ${ }^{b}$ Inhibition is measured in duplicate at 3 concentrations and the mean values used to calculate $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values. ${ }^{c_{\%}}$ Inhibition of current in L-929 cells at $0.3 \mu \mathrm{M}, 2-4$ point determinations.
isoxazole was synthesized as shown in Scheme 4. The pyrrolo isoxazole was then coupled with the BOC protected dihydropyrazolopyrimidine carboxylic acids such as $\mathbf{1 1}$, followed by deprotection of the BOC group, to yield the product as a mixture of diastereomers, which were then separated (Scheme 4).

Utilizing the chemistry described in Schemes 1-4, a series of C6 amide analogues 5 a to $\mathbf{1 2 i}$ were synthesized. Selected mixtures of enantiomers were separated using chiral preparative chromatography (Chiralcel AD). Diastereomers were separated using normal phase silica gel chromatography. Enantiotopically pure compounds are indicated with an asterisk.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

All compounds were assayed for block of $\mathrm{I}_{\text {Kur }}$ current in patch clamped mammalian L-929 cells which were injected with human $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{v}} 1.5 \mathrm{mRNA}$ and stably expressed $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{Kur}}$ protein. ${ }^{22}$ Inhibition and $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ data for compounds $\mathbf{1 - 1 3 f}$ are shown in Tables 1 and 2 . Compounds which were potent for $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{v}} 1.5$ were also
evaluated for selectivity over the $h$ ERG channel, ${ }^{23}$ (representative examples are shown in Tables 1,2 , and 5 ). $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{v}} 1.5$ and $h$ ERG channel activity for standard $\mathbf{1}$ is also included in Table 1 for comparison.

We observed that direct analogues with a C2 ( $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ ) methyl group on the dihydropyrazolopyrimidine were within 1-2-fold for $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{v}} 1.5$ potency (for example, 5a compared to 1, 13a compared to 2, and 13 d compared to 13 c ). Substitution on the C 2 $\left(\mathrm{R}_{1}\right)$ position with chlorine resulted in a 4 -fold loss of potency for $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{v}} 1.5$ (example 5d compared to $\mathbf{1}$ ) and, at the C3 $\left(\mathrm{R}_{2}\right)$ position, a significant decrease in potency (example 5 c compared to 1). Trifluoromethyl substitution at $\mathrm{C} 2\left(\mathrm{R}_{1}\right)$ reduced $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{v}} 1.5$ inhibition in the piperazine and phenylpyrrolidine amide series significantly as shown for examples 5c and 13b compared to the unsubstituted analogues $\mathbf{1}$ and 2, respectively. However, we were surprised to observe that the C2-trifluoromethyl compound was equipotent with the 3 -methylisoxazol-5-yl pyrrolidine amide analogues (compound 13 e compared to 13 c ).

Compound 10b with a methyl substituent at C3 $\left(\mathrm{R}_{2}\right)$ showed reduced $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{v}} 1.5$ inhibition and was not profiled further. Compounds 5a, 13a, and 13d with the methyl substituent at C 2 , and compounds 13 b and 13 e with a trifluoromethyl substituent at C2 and C3 substituted analogues 5 d and $\mathbf{1 0 b}$ were subsequently assayed to determine if reactive intermediates were formed. ${ }^{14}$

The potential for reactive intermediate formation was compared to the C2 and C3 unsubstituted analogues 2 and 13c. The formation of reactive intermediates was quantified as fluorescent GSH adducts (Table 3). Dihydropyrazolopyrimidine C6 amides without substitution at positions 2 or 3 on the fused pyrazole showed significant incorporation of labeled GSH ( 2 and 13c). We were gratified to see that corresponding analogues with substituents at either C2 or C3 significantly reduced reactive intermediate formation, confirming that this is the principal site of oxidation on the dihydropyrazolopyrimidine template, ( C 2 substituted examples 5a, 13a, 13d, and $13 e$ and C3 substituted analogues $5 d$ and $\mathbf{1 0 b}$ ). Potent $K_{v} 1.5$ inhibitors with acceptable selectivity versus $h$ ERG and $<1 \%$ of detected GSH adduct were advanced to in vitro liability screening and liver microsomal stability testing. C2 methyl analogues 5a and 13a and 13d had poor liver microsome stability (human

Table 2. C6 Pyrrolo Amides

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| compd | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{v}} 1.5 \mathrm{IC}_{50}, \mu \mathrm{M}^{b}$ | \% inhibition of hERG current at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ |
| $2^{a}$ | H | H | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 4-fluorophenyl | 0.053 | 30 |
| $10 a^{a}$ | H | H | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | 3-methylisoxazol-5-yl | 0.070 | $14 \pm 3.3$ |
| $10{ }^{a}$ | H | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 4-fluorophenyl | 45\% ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | NT |
| $13 a^{a}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | H | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 4-fluorophenyl | 0.100 | $10 \pm 1.2$ |
| $13 \mathrm{~b}^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ | H | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 4-fluorophenyl | $37 \pm 4.1 \%^{\text {c }}$ | NT |
| $13 c^{a}$ | H | H | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 3-methylisoxazol-5-yl | 0.083 | $41 \pm 0.1$ |
| $13 \mathrm{~d}^{a}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | H | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 3-methylisoxazol-5-yl | 0.165 | $13 \pm 3.4$ |
| $13 \mathrm{e}^{a}$ | $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ | H | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 3-methylisoxazol-5-yl | 0.101 | $47 \pm 4.1$ |
| 13f | cyclopropyl | H | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 3-methylisoxazol-5-yl | 0.227 | NT |

${ }^{a} \mathrm{C} 7$ diastereomers separated and active antipode included. ${ }^{b}$ Inhibition is measured in duplicate at 3 concentrations and the mean values used to calculate $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values. ${ }^{c}$ Percent inhibition of current in L-929 cells at $0.3 \mu \mathrm{M}, 2-4$ point determinations.

Scheme $3^{a}$


10a $R_{1}=H, R_{2}=H, R_{3}=C H_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}, R_{4}=3$-methylisoxazol-5-yl (93\%, 66\% yield)
10b $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}=\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{3}}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{4}}=$ 4-fluorophenyl ( $86 \%, 9 \%$ yield)
${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) DMF, $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}, 65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}$; (b) TMSOTf, DCM, RT; (c) EDCI, DCM, TEA, RT, 14 h .
Scheme $4^{a}$


 , g), h)
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${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) heptane, catalytic piperidine, $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 120 \mathrm{~h}$; (b) di-tert-butyl carbonate, THF, DMAP, RT; (c) LiOH, THF; (d) EDCI, DCM ; (e) AcOH, microwave $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 2 min ; (f) acetone oxime, nBuLi, THF, $-15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to RT ; $(\mathrm{g}) \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4},-15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to RT , $51 \%$ yield, 2 steps; (h) TfOH, DCM RT, $42 \%$ yield.
and rat) compared with $\mathrm{C} 2 \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ analogue 13e. Compound 13e had the best combination of potency, selectivity, and microsomal stability and was advanced to PK profiling in rat and dog (Table 4). Compound 13e had an acceptable PK profile in rat and dog with moderate clearance and good bioavailability and was further evaluated for efficacy in the rabbit pharmacodynamic model. ${ }^{25}$

Like humans, rabbits express the $\mathrm{I}_{\text {Kur }}$ current in atrium but not ventricle and compound 13e demonstrated a dose dependent increase in atrial effective refractory period (AERP) without increasing ventricular effective refractory period (VERP) (Figure 2). ${ }^{24}$ At a dose of $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, compound 13 e achieved a $20 \%$ increase in AERP at a plasma concentration of $1.7 \mu \mathrm{M}$. This is noteworthy, as an increase of AERP by $10-20 \%$ in this

Table 3. Percentage Parent Trapped As Fluorescent GSH Adduct

| compd | \%fluorescent adducts as \% <br> initial parent | compd | \%fluorescent adducts as \% <br> initial parent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 33 | $\mathbf{1 0 b}$ | $<1$ |
| 5a | $<1$ | 13c | 21 |
| 5d | 4 | 13d | $<1$ |
| 13a | 5 | 13e | $<1$ |

Table 4. PK Summary for Compound 13e in Rat and Dog


Dose ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ IV) with plasma concentration in $\mu \mathrm{M}$ at each dose ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ )
Figure 2. Effect on AERP and VERP in rabbit for compound $\mathbf{1 3 e}$. Dose ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{IV}$ ) with plasma concentration in $\mu \mathrm{M}$ at each dose $(n=3)$
model is considered sufficient to produce a clinically relevant effect. ${ }^{11}$ Concomitant with evaluating compound 13 e in vivo, we sought to identify additional compounds which maintain the improved liability profile and further increase the potency in this series.

After optimizing the substitution on the pyrazole ring to address the problem of reactive intermediate formation, we attempted to further optimize these compounds by modification of the substituent at the C7 position on the pyrazolodihydropyrimidine core (Table 5). We reasoned that a bicyclic heterocycle may fill the same space as the dichlorophenyl group in the previous compounds. The 2-benzothiazole ( 13 g ) was $2-$ 3 -fold less potent than the corresponding 3,4-dichlorophenyl analogue, and $2-\mathrm{N}$-methyl indole (13i) showed similar potency to the dichlorophenyl analogue (13e). The $N$-methyl benzimidazole (13h) was significantly less potent than the isosteric N -methyl indole, possibly due to the greater basicity. Because of superior potency, the $N$-methylindole compound (13i) was chosen for further optimization of the 5 -substituent. We had discovered that replacing the 5 -methyl substituent in 13 c with the 5 -methoxymethyl substituent was well tolerated when the 7 -substituent was 3,4 -dichlorophenyl as illustrated by compound 10a compared to compound 13c. Likewise, potency was maintained within 2 -fold when the 5 -methyl substituent was replaced with the 5 -methoxymethyl substituent when the 7 -substituent was $N$-methylindole as illustrated by compound 13j compared to compound 13i. In addition, we observed that compounds substituted with a methoxymethyl group at C5 had improved solubility in the vehicles used for PK dosing

Table 5. Examples of C7 Substituted Methylisoxazolopyrrolo Amides

Compound $\mathrm{R}_{3}$
${ }^{a}$ Inhibition is measured in duplicate at three concentrations and the mean values used to calculate $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values. ${ }^{b}$ Percent Inhibition of current in L-929 cells at $0.3 \mu \mathrm{M}, 2-4$ point determinations. ${ }^{c}{ }^{*} \mathrm{C} 7$ diastereomers separated and active antipode included.
(PEG/EtOH/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) and subsequently the vehicle used for the slow infusion PD studies (DMF).

Compound 13j also showed good selectivity over other cardiac ion channels (Table 6) and was evaluated for reactive

Table 6. Cardiac Ion Channel Inhibition for Compound 13j

| channel/current | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{v}} 1.5$ | 0.15 |
| $h \mathrm{ERG}$ | $>10(14 \%$ inhibition at $10 \mu \mathrm{M})$ |
| $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{CaL}}$ | $>10(37 \%$ inhibition at $10 \mu \mathrm{M})$ |
| $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{Na}}$ | $>10(10 \%$ inhibition at $10 \mu \mathrm{M})$ |
| $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{v}} 4.3$ | 5.8 |

intermediate formation in the same assay using fluorescently labeled glutathione. Like compound 13e, compound 13j showed less than $1 \%$ GSH adduct formation quantified by the detection of fluorescently labeled material.

Compound 13j was evaluated for pharmacokinetic properties in rats and dogs (Table 7) and showed acceptable half-life and

Table 7. PK Summary for Compound 13j in Rat, Dog, and Rabbit

|  | rat | dog | rabbit |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| dose $(\mu \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{kg})$ | $12 \mathrm{iv} ; 25.5 \mathrm{po}$ | $5.6 \mathrm{iv}, 5.2$ po | 5.6 iv |
| $V_{\mathrm{ss}}(\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{kg})$ | 1.9 | 3.7 | 5.0 |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min} / \mathrm{kg})$ | 12 | 29 | 47 |
| $t_{1 / 2}(\mathrm{~h})$ | 2.5 | 1.8 | 2.0 |
| $\% F$ | 61 | 31 | ND |

bioavailability with moderate clearance. The pharmacodynamic effect of $\mathbf{1 3 j}$ was tested in the rabbit PD model, which measured the effective refractory period (ERP) in both atrium and ventricle. ${ }^{25}$ The compound was dosed at $0.3,1.0,3.0$, and $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, and at a dose of $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, compound 13 j achieved a $20 \%$ increase in AERP at a plasma concentration of $0.7 \mu \mathrm{M}$. There was no effect on VERP for either 13e or $\mathbf{1 3 j}$ reflecting the selectivity for $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{v}} 1.5$ over ventricular ion channels (Figures 1 and 3).


Dose ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ IV) with plasma concentration in $\mu \mathrm{M}$ at each dose
Figure 3. Effect on AERP and VERP in rabbit for compound $\mathbf{1 3 j}$.
Because of the superior effect in the pharmacodynamic assay and the acceptable projected human dose derived from allometric scaling of the rat and dog PK properties, compound 13j was chosen for additional characterization in preclinical toxicology studies.

## CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, we identified and blocked the site(s) of reactive intermediate formation in the described dihydropyrazolopyrimidine $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{v}} 1.5$ inhibitors. In general, although $1-2$-fold less potent, the 2 -methyl and 2 -trifluoromethyl substituted analogues offered a profile worthy of follow up. Compound 13 e was identified with less than $1 \%$ GSH adduct formation with an improved PK profile and equivalent PD efficacy to lead compound 2. Subsequent concomitant optimization at the C7 and C5 positions resulted in discovery of compound $\mathbf{1 3 j}$, a potent $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{v}} 1.5$ inhibitor which showed less than $1 \%$ GSH adduct formation and had an improved PK profile and increased PD efficacy compared to compounds 1 and 13 e . Compound 13j was advanced to preclinical single dose toxicology studies in rats and dog, and there were no clinical observations related to liver toxicity observed.

## EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

All reactions were carried out under a static atmosphere of argon or nitrogen and stirred magnetically unless otherwise stated. All reagents used were of commercial quality and were obtained from Aldrich Chemical Co., Sigma Chemical Co., Lancaster Chemical Co., Oakwood Chemical Co., and Matrix Chemical Co. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}(400 \mathrm{MHz})$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}(100 \mathrm{MHz})$ NMR spectra were recorded on a JEOL GSX400 spectrometer using tetramethylsilane as an internal standard unless otherwise stated. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}(500 \mathrm{MHz})$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}(125 \mathrm{MHz}) \mathrm{NMR}$ spectra were recorded on a JEOL JNM-ECP500 spectrometer. Chemical shifts are given in parts per million ( ppm ) downfield from internal reference tetramethylsilane in $\delta$ units, and coupling constants ( $J$ values) are given in hertz (Hz). Selected data are reported in the following manner: chemical shift, multiplicity, coupling constants. All reactions were carried out using commercially available anhydrous solvents from Aldrich Chemical Co. or EM Science Chemical Co. unless otherwise stated. All flash chromatographic separations were performed using E. Merck silica gel (particle size $0.040-0.063 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). Reactions were
monitored by TLC using 0.25 mm E. Merck silica gel plates $\left(60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}\right)$ and were visualized with UV light, with $5 \%$ phosphomolybdic acid in $95 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$, or by sequential treatment with $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ followed by ninhydrin staining. LC MS data were recorded on a Shimadzu LC-10AT equipped with a SIL-10A injector, a SPD-10AV detector, normally operating at 220 nm , and interfaced with a Micromass ZMD mass spectrometer. LC MS or HPLC retention times, unless otherwise noted, are reported using a Phenomenex Luna C-18 $4.6 \mathrm{~mm} \times 50 \mathrm{~mm}$ column eluted with a 4 min gradient from 0 to $100 \% \mathrm{~B}$, where $\mathrm{A}=$ $10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}-90 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-0.1 \%$ TFA and $\mathrm{B}=90 \% \mathrm{MeOH}-10 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-$ $0.1 \%$ TFA. All solvents were removed by rotary evaporation under vacuum using a standard rotovap equipped with a dry ice condenser. All filtrations were performed with a vacuum unless otherwise stated. Purity of all intermediates and final compounds was determined to be $>95 \%$ by HPLC (Phenomenex Luna C-18 $4.6 \mathrm{~mm} \times 50 \mathrm{~mm}$ column eluted with a 4 min gradient from 0 to $100 \% \mathrm{~B}$, where $\mathrm{A}=$ $10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}-90 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-0.1 \%$ TFA and $\mathrm{B}=90 \% \mathrm{MeOH}-10 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-$ $0.1 \%$ TFA and detection at 220 nm (method A), Xbridge C18 $3.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, $4.6 \mathrm{~mm} \times 150 \mathrm{~mm}, 1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ gradient $10-100 \% 95: 5 \mathrm{AcCN}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(0.05 \%\right.$ TFA) in $5: 95 \mathrm{AcCN}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $0.05 \%$ TFA) (method B) and Xbridge Phenyl $3.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 4.6 \mathrm{~mm} \times 150 \mathrm{~mm}, 1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ gradient $10-100 \% 95: 5 \mathrm{AcCN}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $0.05 \%$ TFA) in $5: 95 \mathrm{AcCN}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $0.05 \%$ TFA) (method C)) and/or elemental analyses.
(7-(3,4-Dichlorophenyl)-2,5-dimethyl-4,7-dihydropyrazolo-[1,5-a]pyrimidin-6-yl)(4-phenylpiperazin-1-yl)methanone (5a). 1-(4-Phenylpiperazin-1-yl)butane-1,3-dione (4a) ( $0.40 \mathrm{~g}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 3,4-dichlorobenzaldehyde $(0.32 \mathrm{~g}, 1.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in DMF ( 10 mL ). NaOAc ( $0.37 \mathrm{~g}, 4.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 3-methyl- $1 H$-pyrazol5 -amine ( $0.26 \mathrm{~g}, 1.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added and the solution heated to $55{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h . The cooled solution was diluted with EtOAc and the organic portion washed with water and satd NaCl , dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, decanted, and concentrated. The residue was purified by silica gel chromatography elution with $1: 1$ hexane:acetone to yield 5 a as a paleyellow solid ( $450 \mathrm{mg}, 60 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}\right) \delta 7.47$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.13(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.49(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.23(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.31(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.87$ (br s, 2H), 2.12 (s, 3H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD)} \delta$ 169.66, 163.15, 160.50, 158.09, 155.36, 151.27, 149.22, 143.53, 141.83, 133.83, 133.01, 132.18, 129.87, 127.72, 120.37, 120.29, 116.55, 116.33, 100.60, 87.71, 61.27, 16.32, 13.19. LCMS: [ $M+1] 500.1$. HPLC: purity $94 \%$, retention time 7.11 min , method B; purity $94 \%$, retention time 7.18 min , method C.
(7-(3,4-Dichlorophenyl)-2,5-dimethyl-4,7-dihydropyrazolo-[1,5-a]pyrimidin-6-yl)(4-phenylpiperazin-1-yl)methanone (5b). (7-(3,4-Dichlorophenyl)-2,5-dimethyl-4,7-dihydropyrazolo[1,5-a]-pyrimidin-6-yl)(4-phenylpiperazin-1-yl)methanone was prepared from 4a and 3-methyl-1 H -pyrazol-5-amine as described for example 5a in $29 \%$ yield, $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.295 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in EtOAc. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}$ ) $\delta 7.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3$, $2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.92-6.82(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.16(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.51(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.29$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.38(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.97(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.14(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.94(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}) \delta$ 169.66, 152.54, 151.27, 143.50, 141.83, $133.87,133.00,132.16,130.14,129.84,127.70,122.03,118.43,100.62$, $87.70,61.69,16.71,13.66$. HPLC: purity $96 \%$, retention time 7.52 min , method B; purity $96 \%$, retention time 7.58 min , method C. LCMS: $[\mathrm{M}+1] 482.1,[\mathrm{M}+3] 484.1$.
(7-(3,4-Dichlorophenyl)-5-methyl-2-(trifluoromethyl)-4,7-dihydropyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-6-yl)(4-(4-fluorophenyl)-piperazin-1-yl)methanone (5c). (7-(3,4-Dichlorophenyl)-5-methyl-2-(trifluoromethyl)-4,7-dihydropyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-6-yl)(4-(4-fluorophenyl)piperazin-1-yl)methanone was prepared from 1-(4-(4-fluorophenyl)piperazin-1-yl)butane-1,3-dione (4b) and 3,3-(trifluoro-methyl)-1H-pyrazol-5-amine as described for example 5a in $46 \%$ yield, $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.3810 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}$ ) $\delta$ $8.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.4,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.09-6.93 (m, 3H), 6.89-6.79 (m, 2H), 6.28 ( s, 1H), $5.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.24(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.87-3.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.58-3.16(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.96(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, MeOD) $\delta$ 169.04, 164.90, 160.53, 158.07, 149.22, 144.35, 143.88, 142.52, 142.20, 133.98, 133.46, 132.35, 130.05, $127.83,120.37,120.30,116.56,116.34,101.09,86.13,62.52,49.33$,
49.55, 16.72. HPLC: purity $96 \%$, retention time 10.32 min, method B; purity $95 \%$, retention time 9.37 min , method C. LCMS: $[\mathrm{M}+1]$ 554.1.
(3-Chloro-7-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-5-methyl-4,7-dihydropyrazolo-[1,5-a]pyrimidin-6-yl)(4-(4-fluorophenyl)piperazin-1-yl)methanone (5d). 7-(3,4-Dichlorophenyl)-5-methyl-4,7-dihydropyrazolo-[1,5-a]pyrimidin-6-yl)(4-(4-fluorophenyl)piperazin-1-yl)methanone was prepared by the method described for 5 a from $4 b$ and pyrazol5 -amine in $27 \%$ yield. HPLC: purity $99 \%$, retention time 8.59 min , method B; purity $99 \%$, retention time 8.24 min , method C. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}) \delta 7.59(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32$ (d, $J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.08-6.98(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.97-6.88(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.32(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.25 (brs, 1H), 3.44 (brs, 3 H ), 2.99 (brs, 1H), 2.65 (brs, 1H), 1.99 (s, 3H), 1.60 (brs, 1H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}\right) \delta$ 169.08, 161.03, 160.30, 159.90, 158.65, 148.09,142.33, 142.01, 140.61, 134.07, 133.59, 132.41, 130.05, 127.84, 120.82, 120.74, 118.13, 116.81, 116.59, 115.34, 100.82, 61.98, 16.69. LCMS: $[\mathrm{M}+1]$ 485.9, $[\mathrm{M}+3]$ 487.7. 7-(3,4-Dichlorophenyl)-5-methyl-4,7-dihydropyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-6-yl)(4-(4-fluorophenyl)piperazin-1-yl)methanone ( $59 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in DCM ( 4 mL ) and NCS ( $18 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 30 min , the crude solution was applied directly to a prep TLC plate ( $25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 25 \mathrm{~cm}, 1 \mathrm{~mm}$ thickness of silica) and eluted with $1: 1$ hexane:acetone. Compound $5 \mathbf{d}$ was isolated as a white solid ( $38 \mathrm{mg}, 61 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}\right) \delta 9.52(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00-$ $7.05(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.00$ (s, 2H), $3.20(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.90(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.90(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , MeOD) $\delta 181.55,168.80,157.93,148.72,142.56,139.51,137.46$, 134.06, 133.09, 132.29, 130.10, 127.92, 120.39, 120.31, 116.56, 116.34, $101.43,90.86,62.53,49.33,49.12,30.63,16.59$. HPLC: purity $94 \%$, retention time 9.67 min , method B; purity $94 \%$, retention time 8.89 min , method C. LCMS: $[M+1] 520.1$.

2-(1-(3,4-Dichlorophenyl)ethylidene)-1-(4-phenylpiperazin-$1-y l) b u t a n e-1,3-d i o n e ~(6) . ~ T o ~ a ~ s o l u t i o n ~ o f ~ t e r t-b u t y l ~ 3-~$ oxobutanoate ( $1.2 \mathrm{~g}, 7.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in toluene $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added 1 -(4-fluorophenyl)piperazine ( $1.4 \mathrm{~g}, 7.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction mixture was heated to $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h and then the cooled solution was extracted with $\mathrm{HCl}(1 \mathrm{~N}$ aqueous solution, $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. Satd $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ was added to the combined aqueous portions until the pH was adjusted to pH 8 . A white precipitate formed which was extracted into EtOAc . The combined EtOAc portions were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, decanted, and concentrated to yield intermediate 1-(4-(4-fluorophenyl)piperazin-1-yl)butane-1,3-dione (4a), which was used directly without further purification $(1.7 \mathrm{~g}, 84 \%$ yield, $98 \%$ purity retention time 2.50 min ). 1-(4-(4-Fluorophenyl)piperazin-1-yl)butane-1,3-dione ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.76 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in DMF ( 2 mL ). To the reaction mixture was added 3,4-dichlorobenzaldehyde ( $0.13 \mathrm{~g}, 0.76$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, acetic acid $(20 \mathrm{mg})$, piperidine $(30 \mathrm{mg})$, and powdered 3 A molecular sieves ( 100 mg ). The resulting solution was heated to $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 14 h and then the cooled slurry was diluted with EtOAc $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and poured into $\mathrm{LiCl}(10 \%$ aqueous solution, 50 mL ). The organic portion was separated and washed further with $\mathrm{LiCl}(10 \%$ aqueous solution), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, decanted, and concentrated. The residual oil was purified by column chromatography elution with $5: 1$ hexane: acetone to yield 2-(1-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)ethylidene)-1-(4-phenyl-piperazin-1-yl)butane-1,3-dione $(0.25 \mathrm{~g}, 79 \%$ yield, $98 \%$ purity retention time 4.16 min method A$).{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $7.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.0$ and $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{t}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.30($ broad s, 1 H$), 3.20($ broad s, 1 H$), 3.05($ broad s, 1 H$), 2.95$ (broad s, 1 H ), 2.90 (broad s, 1H), 2.50 (broad s, 1 H ), 2.37 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. LCMS [M+1] 421.07.

3-Chloro-1H-pyrazol-5-amine (7). HCl (4.0 M in dioxane, $6.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 24 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a stirred solution of 1 H -pyrazol-5amine ( $1.5 \mathrm{~g}, 18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ at RT. After 3 h , the solvents were removed in vacuo and the residue redissolved in MeOH $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. A further 3 mL of $\mathrm{HCl}(4.0 \mathrm{M}$ in dioxane, 12 mmol$)$ was added and the turbid solution stirred for 2 h and then concentrated, yielding a pale-yellow solid. The residue was redissolved in MeOH $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and then isoamylnitrate was added dropwise
$(2.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 16 \mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting slurry was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 90 min , diluted with ether $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the solid collected by filtration and washed further with ether, yielding intermediate 5 -diazo- 1 H -pyrazole $(2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 98 \%$ yield). 5-Diazo-1 H -pyrazole ( $1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 7.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was suspended in concentrated $\mathrm{HCl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, ether $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, and MeOH $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and then $\mathrm{CuCl}(0.038 \mathrm{~g}, 0.38 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{CuCl}_{2}$ $(0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 3.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added. The reaction mixture was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h and then poured into saturated ammonium hydroxide $(37 \%, 30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and then extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic portions were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, decanted, and concentrated under reduced pressure to yield 5-chloro-1 H -pyrazole ( $0.64 \mathrm{~g}, 86 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.53$ (broad s, 1H), 6.28 (broad s, 1H). 5-Chloro-1 H -pyrazole $(0.65 \mathrm{~g}, 6.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved directly in acetic acid $(0.91 \mathrm{~mL})$ and then $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}(90 \%, 0.91 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ followed by acetic anhydride $(2.3 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 14 h and then poured cautiously into water $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The pH of the solution was adjusted to $\mathrm{pH} 7-8$ by the addition of $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and the aqueous solution extracted with ether. The combined ether portions were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, decanted, concentrated, and the residue purified by column chromatography eluting with $4: 1$ hexane:EtOAc, yielding 3-chloro-1-nitro- $1 H$-pyrazole as a white solid $\left(0.30 \mathrm{~g}, 32 \%\right.$ yield, $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.65$ in $4: 1$ hexane:EtOAc). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .3$-Chloro-1-nitro- $1 H$-pyrazole $(0.30 \mathrm{~g}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in anisole $(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the solution heated at $130{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h . The cooled reaction mixture was poured into $1: 1$ hexane:water $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$, the aqueous phase separated and the organic portion extracted with $\mathrm{NaOH}(10 \%$ aqueous solution, $4 \times$ 10 mL ). The combined aqueous extracts were acidified to pH 2 by the addition of concentrated HCl and extracted with EtOAc. The combined EtOAc extracts were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, decanted, and concentrated to yield 3 -chloro-5-nitro-1 H -pyrazole as a white solid $\left(0.30 \mathrm{~g}, 100 \%\right.$ yield, $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.2$ in $4: 1$ hexane:EtOAc) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. HPLC: purity $97 \%$, retention time 6.18 min , Xbridge C18 $3.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 4.6 \mathrm{~mm} \times 150 \mathrm{~mm}, 1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ gradient $10-$ $100 \%$ 95:5 AcCN in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $0.05 \% \mathrm{TFA}$ ) in 5:95 AcCN in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $0.05 \%$ TFA); purity $97 \%$, retention time 5.38 min , Xbridge Phenyl $3.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, $4.6 \mathrm{~mm} \times 150 \mathrm{~mm}, 1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ gradient $10-100 \% 95: 5 \mathrm{AcCN}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.05 \% \mathrm{TFA})$ in 5:95 AcCN in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $0.05 \%$ TFA). A Parr thick walled reaction vessel was charged with chloro-5-nitro- 1 H -pyrazole ( $0.66 \mathrm{~g}, 4.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(33 \mathrm{mg}, 5 \%$ by weight), and MeOH $(40 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was hydrogenated at $50 \mathrm{psi}(3.6 \mathrm{~atm})$ for 2 h 15 min . The reaction mixture was flushed with nitrogen, diluted with MeOH , and filtered through a pad of Celite. The solvents were removed to yield 3-chloro-1H-pyrazol-5-amine as brown needles $(0.48 \mathrm{~g}$, $95 \%$ yield, $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.2$ in $2: 1$ hexane:acetone). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $5.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.30($ broad s, 2 H$) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}\right) \delta 153.75$, 142.43, 91.48. HPLC: purity $95 \%$, retention time 3.20 min , method B; purity $95 \%$, retention time 2.95 min , method C.
(2-Chloro-7-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-5-methyl-4,7-dihydropyrazolo-[1,5-a]pyrimidin-6-yl)(4-phenylpiperazin-1-yl)methanone (8). A Teflon screw capped vial was charged with 2-(1-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-ethylidene)-1-(4-phenylpiperazin-1-yl)butane-1,3-dione ( 0.13 g , $0.31 \mathrm{mmol})$, 3-chloro-1H-pyrazol-5-amine ( $0.040 \mathrm{~g}, 0.34 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(0.078 \mathrm{~g}, 0.93 \mathrm{mmol})$, and DMF $(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The sealed reaction vessel was heated at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 72 h and the cooled solution poured into LiCl ( $10 \%$ aqueous solution). The solution was extracted with EtOAc , and the combined organic portions were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, decanted, and concentrated. The residue was purified by prep HPLC (YMC S5 ODS $30 \mathrm{~mm} \times 100 \mathrm{~mm}$, gradient $40-100 \%$ B where $\mathrm{A}=10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}-90 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-0.1 \%$ TFA and $\mathrm{B}=90 \%$ $\mathrm{MeOH}-10 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-0.1 \%$ TFA and detection at 220 nm , retention time 10.1 min ) to yield (2-chloro-7-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-5-methyl-4,7-dihydropyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-6-yl)(4-phenylpiperazin-1-yl)methanone ( 38 mg , $24 \%$ yield, $95 \%$ purity, retention time 4.27 min , method A). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}) \delta 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.27(\mathrm{~d} J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and $J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.94-6.98 (m, 2H), $6.86(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.25(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.40(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.90(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.92(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , $\mathrm{MeOD}) \delta 169.15,159.53,158.62,142.19,133.11,132.31,130.01$,
127.84, 120.63, 118.62, 116.74, 116.52, 101.58, 87.11, 61.87, 49.68, 49.47, 49.26, 49.04, 48.83, 48.61, 48.40, 16.70. LCMS: $[\mathrm{M}+1] 520.0$, $[\mathrm{M}+3] 522.0$
((R)-7-(3,4-Dichlorophenyl)-5-(methoxymethyl)-4,7-dihydropyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-6-yl)((S)-2-(3-methylisoxazol-$5-\mathrm{yl})$ pyrrolidin-1-yl)methanone (10a). Intermediate tert-butyl 7-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-5-(methoxymethyl)-4,7-dihydropyrazolo[1,5-a]-pyrimidine-6-carboxylate (9a). To a solution of $1 H$-pyrazol-5-amine $(5.4 \mathrm{~g}, 63 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF ( 50 mL ) was added 3,4-dichlorobenzaldehyde ( $9.6 \mathrm{~g}, 53 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and tert-butyl 4-methoxy-3-oxobutanoate ( 10 g , $53 \mathrm{mmol}) . \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(18 \mathrm{~g}, 252 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added, and the solution was heated at $65{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h . The cooled reaction mixture was diluted with $10 \% \mathrm{LiCl}$ solution in water $(800 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the precipitate collected, dissolved in DCM, and transferred to a separation funnel. The organic portion was further washed with $10 \% \mathrm{LiCl}$ solution and the solvents evaporated. The residue was triturated with methanol to yield 9 a as a white powder ( $8.5 \mathrm{~g}, 39 \%$ yield, purity $91 \%$, retention time 3.82 min$)$. The material was separated into the corresponding enantiomers using a chiral cell AS column elution $(5 \mathrm{~cm} \times 50 \mathrm{~cm}$, $20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, Chiral Technologies) with $100 \%$ IPA ( $1 \%$ TEA) at $60 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. Isomer 1 eluted at a retention time of 56 min , and isomer 2 eluted at a retention time of 98 min . Analytical data for isomer 1: HPLC purity $95 \%$, retention time 11.16 min , method B; purity $95 \%$, retention time 9.67 min , method C. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}$ ) $\delta 7.43$ (d, $J=$ $8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11$ (dd, $J=8.3,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.76(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.50(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}) \delta 166.39,147.84,144.93,141.56,138.99$, 133.04, 132.54, 131.58, 130.59, 127.92, 96.60, 90.21, 81.89, 70.70, 60.39, 59.49, 28.59, 27.97. LCMS [M + 1] 410.13. tert-Butyl 7-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-5-(methoxymethyl)-4,7-dihydropyrazolo[1,5-a]-pyrimidine-6-carboxylate (isomer 1) was treated with TMSOTf to yield 7-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-5-(methoxymethyl)-4,7-dihydropyrazolo[1,5-a]-pyrimidine-6-carboxylic acid in $93 \%$ yield, purity $94 \%$, retention time 3.02 min , method A. 7-(3,4-Dichlorophenyl)-5-(methoxymethyl)-4,7dihydropyrazolo $[1,5-a]$ pyrimidine-6-carboxylic acid was coupled to (S)-3-methyl-5-(pyrrolidin-2-yl)isoxazole (12) using the conditions described for example 13a to yield ((R)-7-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-5-(methoxymethyl)-4,7-dihydropyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-6-yl)((S)-2-(3-methylisoxazol-5-yl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)methanone as a white solid $(66 \%$ yield). HPLC: purity $100 \%$, retention time 8.12 min , method B; purity $100 \%$, retention time 7.75 min , method C. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\mathrm{MeOD}) \delta 7.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.80($ brs, 1 H$), 5.69(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.48(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.91$ (pentet, $J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.20(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.24(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.95(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}\right) \delta 173.43,168.41,161.41$, 142.81, 141.56, 141.02, 133.68, 133.07, 131.92, 130.11, 127.95, 103.62, 103.18, 88.53, 69.87, 61.30, 59.04, 11.28. LCMS: [M + 1] 511.41. LCMS $[\mathrm{M}+1]$ 487.9. HRMS calcd 488.126, obsd 488.126. The relative stereochemistry at the C7 postion was inferred from NMR analysis of the diagnostic proton shift for the methylene proton at C7.
((R)-7-(3,4-Dichlorophenyl)-3,5-dimethyl-4,7-dihydropyrazolo-[1,5-a]pyrimidin-6-yl)((S)-2-(4-fluorophenyl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)methanone (10b). Intermediate tert-butyl-7-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-3,5-dimethyl-4,7-dihydropyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-6-carboxylate $(\mathbf{9 b})$. To a solution of 4-methyl-1H-pyrazol-5-amine $(0.36 \mathrm{~g}, 3.7 \mathrm{~mol})$ in IPA $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added 3,4-dichlorobenzaldehyde $(0.43 \mathrm{~g}, 2.4 \mathrm{~mol})$ and methyl 3-oxobutanoate ( $0.36 \mathrm{~g}, 2.4 \mathrm{~mol}$ ). Heptane ( 4 mL ) and piperidine $(0.080 \mathrm{mg}, 0.93 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added, and the solution was heated to $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h . The cooled reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo and the residue redissolved in THF and purified by silica gel chromatography gradient elution with $4: 1$ hexane:EtOAc to 2:1 hexane:EtOAc. tert-Butyl-7-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-3,5-dimethyl-4,7-dihydropyrazolo [1,5-a]pyrimidine-6-carboxylate was isolated as a pale-yellow powder $(0.49 \mathrm{~g}, 51 \%$ yield, $99 \%$ purity, retention time 3.95 min, method A). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{THF}-d_{8}\right) \delta$ $8.68(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12$ $(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.20(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.45$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.95(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.34(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$. HPLC: purity $95 \%$, retention time
10.52 min , method B; purity $95 \%$, retention time 9.11 min , method C. LCMS $[M+1]$ 394.46. Compound 11b ( $0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 0.51 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in DCM $(3 \mathrm{~mL})$, and TMSOTf was added dropwise $(0.20 \mathrm{~g})$. The resulting slurry was stirred at room temperature for 1 h , the DCM was removed by pipet, and the residual solid dissolved in THF and treated with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(0.5 \mathrm{~g})$. The organic layer was purified by silica gel chromatography elution with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(1 \% \mathrm{AcOH})$, yielding 7-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-3,5-dimethyl-4,7-dihydropyrazolo[1,5-a]-pyrimidine-6-carboxylic acid as a white powder $(0.15 \mathrm{~g}, 86 \%$ yield, $100 \%$ purity, retention time 3.89 min ). HPLC: purity $99 \%$, retention time 10.47 min , method B ; purity $97 \%$, retention time 9.65 min , method C. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{THF}\right) \delta 9.89(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3$, $2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.52(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.49(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.06(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{THF}) \delta 164.22,144.05,139.23,138.99,134.74,131.72,131.35$, $129.86,128.38,126.55,100.96,97.76,57.87,16.47,5.08$. LCMS [M + 1] 338.27, $[\mathrm{M}+3] 340.41$.

7-(3,4-Dichlorophenyl)-3,5-dimethyl-4,7-dihydropyrazolo[1,5-a]-pyrimidine-6-carboxylic acid and (S)-2-(4-fluorophenyl)pyrrolidine were coupled under the conditions described for the preparation of example 13a to yield (7-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-3,5-dimethyl-4,7dihydropyrazolo [1,5-a] pyrimidin-6-yl)((S)-2-(4-fluorophenyl)-pyrrolidin-1-yl)methanone as a $1: 1$ mixture of diastereomers, which was separated by prep TLC plate ( $25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 25 \mathrm{~cm}, 1 \mathrm{~mm}$ thickness) elution with $1: 1$ hexane:EtOAc ( $5 \%$ IPA). The $R_{\mathrm{f}}$ values for the diastereomers were 0.55 for ((S)-7-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-3,5-dimethyl-4, 7-dihydropyrazolo $[1,5-a]$ pyrimidin-6-yl) $((S)$-2-(4-fluorophenyl)-pyrrolidin-1-yl)methanone and 0.45 for $((R)$-7-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-3,5-dimethyl-4,7-dihydropyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-6-yl)((S)-2-(4-fluorophenyl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)methanone, which were isolated as white solids in yields of $4 \%$ and $5 \%$, respectively. Analytical data for $((R)-7$ -(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-3,5-dimethyl-4,7-dihydropyrazolo[1,5-a]-pyrimidin-6-yl) ((S)-2-(4-fluorophenyl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)methanone. HPLC: purity $95 \%$, retention time 10.50 min, method B; purity $92 \%$, retention time 10.19 min , method C. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{THF}$ ) $\delta$ $7.96(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.02-6.91(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=27.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.91(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.74(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.44(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.18(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.92(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.58(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=13.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. LCMS [ $\mathrm{M}+1$ ] 484.9 .
((R)-7-(3,4-Dichlorophenyl)-2,5-dimethyl-4,7-dihydropyrazolo-[1,5-a]pyrimidin-6-yl) ((S)-2-(4-fluorophenyl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)methanone (13a). Intermediate (R)-4-tert-butyl-6-methyl-7-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-2,5-dimethylpyrazolo[1,5-a] pyrimidine-4,6(7H)dicarboxylate was prepared as follows. To a solution of 3-methyl$1 H$-pyrazol-5-amine ( $3.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.031 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in THF $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added 3,4-dichlorobenzaldehyde ( $6.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.034 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and methyl 3-oxobutanoate $(4.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.034 \mathrm{~mol})$. Heptane $(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ and piperidine $(0.080 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.93 mmol ) were added, and the solution was heated to $69^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . A white precipitate formed and the slurry diluted with hexane $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$, filtered, and the filter cake washed further with hexane and dried in vacuo to yield methyl-7-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-2,5-dimethyl-4,7-dihydro-pyrazolo[1,5-a] pyrimidine-6-carboxylate as a white powder ( $8.3 \mathrm{~g}, 76 \%$ yield, $95 \%$ purity, retention time 3.43 min , method A). LCMS: $[\mathrm{M}+1]$ 352.0. To a slurry of methyl 7-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-2,5-dimethyl-4,7dihydropyrazolo $[1,5-a]$ pyrimidine- 6 -carboxylate ( $4.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.011 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in THF ( 37 mL ) was added BOC anhydride ( $3.2 \mathrm{~g}, 0.014 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and DMAP ( $67 \mathrm{mg}, 0.55 \mathrm{~mol}$ ). The solution was stirred at RT for 2 h and then the solvents removed under reduced pressure. The residual oil was dissolved in DCM $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$, washed successively with 1 M HCl , satd $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, and satd NaCl , dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, decanted, and concentrated, yielding racemic 4-tert-butyl-6-methyl-7-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-2,5-dimethylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-4,6(7H)-dicarboxylate (5.6 g, $100 \%$, purity $95 \%$, retention time 4.21 min ). The racemate was separated into the corresponding enantiomers using a Chiral cell AD column ( $50 \mathrm{~mm} \times 500 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) elution with $1 \%$ anhydrous EtOH in heptane at a flow rate of $40 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ with detection at 254 nm . Enantiomer A eluted at a retention time of 70 min and entantiomer B at 582 min . Enantiomer B corresponded to (R)-4-tert-butyl-6-methyl-7-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-2,5-dimethylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine$4,6(7 H)$-dicarboxylate and was isolated in $21 \%$ yield from the corresponding
racemate in $>98 \%$ ee purity, retention time 7.50 min , Chiral cell OD column $(4.6 \mathrm{~mm} \times 250 \mathrm{~mm})$ elution with $2 \%$ IPA in heptane. HPLC: purity $98 \%$, retention time 12.17 min , method B; purity $98 \%$, retention time 10.30 min , method C. LCMS: $[\mathrm{M}+1] 452.0,[\mathrm{M}+3] 454.0 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}) \delta 7.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.58(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.21(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.58(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}) \delta 166.47,151.20,150.95,149.09,141.20,138.56$, $133.85,133.44,132.15,129.35,127.57,116.52,97.42,86.46,59.36$, 52.80, 28.28, 21.42, 13.92.
$\mathrm{LiOH}(10 \mathrm{~N}$ aqueous solution, 5 mL$)$ was added to a solution of (R)-4-tert-butyl-6-methyl-7-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-2,5dimethylpyrazolo $[1,5-a]$ pyrimidine-4,6(7H)-dicarboxylate ( 0.53 g , 1.2 mmol ) in THF ( 5 mL ). The reaction mixture was stirred at RT for 15 h and then diluted with $\mathrm{HCl}(1 \mathrm{~N}$ aqueous solution, 20 mL$)$ and extracted with DCM. The combined organic portions were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, decanted, and concentrated to yield (R)-4-(tert-butoxycar-bonyl)-7-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-2,5-dimethyl-4,7-dihydropyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-6-carboxylic acid (11a) as an off-white powder ( 0.44 g , yield $87 \%$, purity $92 \%$, retention time 4.03 min , method A). LCMS $[\mathrm{M}+1]$ 438.18. To a solution of 11a $(1.2 \mathrm{~g}, 2.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DCM $(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added EDCI $(0.71 \mathrm{~g}, 3.7 \mathrm{mmol})$, HOBt $(0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 3.7 \mathrm{mmol})$, and (S)-2-(4-fluorophenyl)pyrrolidine ( $0.61 \mathrm{~g}, 3.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 14 h and then diluted further with $\mathrm{DCM}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the solution washed successively with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and satd NaCl . The organic portion was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, decanted, and concentrated to yield intermediate $(R)$-tert-butyl-7-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-6-((S)-2-(4-fluorophenyl)pyrrolidine-1-carbonyl)-2,5-dimethylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-4(7H)-carboxylate as a $\tan$ solid ( $1.2 \mathrm{~g}, 75 \%$ yield, $95 \%$ purity at retention time 4.18 min ). LCMS $[\mathrm{M}+1]$ 585.16. To (R)-tert-butyl-7-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-6-((S)-2-(4-fluorophenyl)pyrrolidine-1-carbonyl)-2,5-dimethylpyrazolo-[1,5-a] pyrimidine-4(7H)-carboxylate ( $1.2 \mathrm{~g}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added 1:1 DCM:TFA solution ( 3 mL ). The solution was stirred at room temperature for 3 h and then diluted with DCM , and the organic portion was washed with satd $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, decanted, and concentrated. The residue was purified by silica gel chromatography elution with 1:1:0.1 hexane:EtOAc:IPA to yield compound 13a as a white powder $(0.22 \mathrm{~g}, 23 \%$ yield, $99 \%$ purity, retention time 6.83 $\min$, method B); $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.3010 \%$ IPA in $1: 1$ hexane:EtOAc. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}) \delta 164.0,162.5,152.0,145.0,142.0,141.0,133.8$, 133.7, 133.6, 132.0, 130.0, 128.3, 116.0, 102.8, 87.58, 62.0, 28.0, 17.0, 13.6. Due to rotomers, the proton spectra for this diastereomer had broad peaks as noted. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}\right) \delta 7.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.32(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.41$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.05(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.69(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.47(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.19$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.06(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.00-1.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS $[\mathrm{M}+1]$ obsd 485.1309, calcd 485.1311.

4-(tert-Butoxycarbonyl)-7-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-5-methyl-2-(trifluoromethyl)-4,7-dihydropyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-6-carboxylic Acid (11b). Methyl 7-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-5-methyl-2-(trifluoromethyl)-4,7-dihydropyrazolo[1,5-a] pyrimidine-6-carboxylate was prepared as described for example 11a from the condensation of 3-(trifluoromethyl)-1H-pyrazol-5-amine, 3,4-dichlorobenzaldehyde, and methyl 3-oxobutanoate to yield intermediate methyl 7-(3,4-dichloro-phenyl)-5-methyl-2-(trifluoromethyl)-4,7-dihydropyrazolo[1,5-a]-pyrimidine-6-carboxylate as an orange solid in $52 \%$ yield, $96 \%$ purity, retention time $2.90 \mathrm{~min} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz},) \delta 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.63$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.38(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.52(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. LCMS [ $\mathrm{M}+1]$ 405.95, $[\mathrm{M}+3]$ 407.91. Methyl 7-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-5-methyl-2-(trifluoromethyl)-4,7-dihydropyrazolo[1,5-a] pyrimidine-6-carboxylate was converted to 4-tert-butyl-6-methyl-7-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-5-methyl-2-(trifluoromethyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a] pyrimidine-4,6(7H)-dicarboxylate and subsequently hydrolyzed as described to 4 -(tert-butoxycarbonyl)-7-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-5-methyl-2-(trifluoromethyl)-4,7-dihydro-pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-6-carboxylic acid using the procedure described in example 13a in $94 \%$ yield. HPLC: purity $96 \%$, retention time 11.85 min , method B ; purity $93 \%$, retention time 10.28 min , method C. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 7.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
$7.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.51(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.47(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.66(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.59(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}$ ) $\delta 167.30,150.66,148.79,141.14,140.15,139.06,136.23,135.00$, $134.15,133.99,133.81,132.34,129.36,127.62,117.59,113.60$, 86.98, 80.66, 60.48, 40.98, 28.17, 22.00, 21.21. LCMS: $[M+1]$ 491.8.
((R)-7-(3,4-Dichlorophenyl)-5-methyl-2-(trifluoromethyl)-4,7-dihydropyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-6-yl)((S)-2-(4-fluorophenyl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)methanone (13b). Compound 11b and (S)-2-(4-fluorophenyl)pyrrolidine were coupled under the conditions described for the preparation of example 13a to yield intermediate tert-butyl 7-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-6-((S)-2-(4-fluorophenyl)-pyrrolidine-1-carbonyl)-5-methyl-2-(trifluoromethyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]-pyrimidine- $4(7 H)$-carboxylate $(0.53 \mathrm{~g}, 0.82 \mathrm{mmol})$ which was dissolved in $1: 1$ TFA:DCM $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the reaction mixture stirred at room temperature for 3 h . The reaction mixture was concentrated and the residue azeotroped with toluene and then purified by silica gel chromatography elution with 1:3:7 IPA:EtOAc:hexane to yield ((R)-7-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-5-methyl-2-(trifluoromethyl)-4,7-dihydropyrazolo[1,5-a] pyrimidin-6-yl) ((S)-2-(4-fluorophenyl)-pyrrolidin-1-yl)methanone as the less polar diastereomer and ((S)-7-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-5-methyl-2-(trifluoromethyl)-4,7dihydropyrazolo [1,5-a] pyrimidin-6-yl)((S)-2-(4-fluorophenyl)-pyrrolidin-1-yl)methanone as the more polar diastereomer as white solids in yields of $29 \%$ and $17 \%$, respectively. HPLC: purity $97 \%$, retention time 3.86 min , method A. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta 7.44$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{t}$, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.86(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05-3.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.32(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.13(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.19(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( 376 MHz$) \delta-62.53,-114.61$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz ,) $\delta 167.43,160.08,138.57,132.89,130.77,128.30$, 127.50, 125.78, 115.45, 115.24, 103.29, 85.29, 77.32, 77.01, 76.69, 64.48, 60.62, 49.31, 34.85, 32.25, 25.32, 16.81, 0.99, -10.63. HRMS [M + 1] obsd 539.1028, calcd 539.1029.
((R)-7-(3,4-Dichlorophenyl)-5-methyl-4,7-dihydropyrazolo-[1,5-a]pyrimidin-6-yl)((S)-2-(3-methylisoxazol-5-yl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)methanone (13c). (R)-4-(tert-Butoxycarbonyl)-7-(3,4-dichlor-ophenyl)-5-methyl-4,7-dihydropyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-6-carboxylic acid (11c) was coupled to (S)-3-methyl-5-(pyrrolidin-2-yl)isoxazole (12) using the conditions and subsequent deprotection described for example 13a to yield $((R)$-7-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-5-methyl-4,7-dihydro-pyrazolo[1,5-a] pyrimidin-6-yl) ((S)-2-(3-methylisoxazol-5-yl)pyrrolidin1 -yl)methanone in $26 \%$ yield. HPLC: purity $95 \%$, retention time 7.88 min, method B; purity $96 \%$, retention time 7.59 min , method C. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=8.3,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.07(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.52(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.52(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.19(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.24(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.02-1.84$ $(\mathrm{m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.04(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}\right) \delta$ 173.58, 161.88, 151.20, 143.56, 141.76, 133.45, 132.88, 131.93, 130.13, 127.99, 103.10, 102.44, 87.74, 61.17, 16.88, 13.64, 11.32. LCMS: $[\mathrm{M}+1]$ 458.1, $[\mathrm{M}+3] 460.1$
((R)-7-(3,4-Dichlorophenyl)-2,5-dimethyl-4,7-dihydropyra-zolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-6-yl)((S)-2-(3-methylisoxazol-5-yl)-pyrrolidin-1-yl)methanone (13d). Compound 11a was coupled to (S)-3-methyl-5-(pyrrolidin-2-yl)isoxazole (12) using the conditions and subsequent deprotection described for example 13a to yield $((R)$ -7-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-2,5-dimethyl-4,7-dihydropyrazolo[1,5-a]-pyrimidin-6-yl) ((S)-2-(3-methylisoxazol-5-yl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)methanone in $64 \%$ yield. HPLC: purity $94 \%$, retention time 7.22 min , method B; purity $96 \%$, retention time 7.32 min , method C. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}) \delta 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.72(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.48$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{dt}, J=10.8,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.21(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.26$ (s, 3H), $2.12(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.98(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}$ ) $\delta 173.58,161.41,151.20,143.56,141.76,133.45,132.88,131.93,130.13$, 127.99, 103.10, 102.44, 87.74, 61.17, 16.88, 13.64, 11.32. LCMS: $[\mathrm{M}+1] 472.1,[\mathrm{M}+3] 474.1$
((R)-7-(3,4-Dichlorophenyl)-5-methyl-2-(trifluoromethyl)-4,7-dihydropyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-6-yl)((S)-2-(3-methylisox-azol-5-yl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)methanone (13e). Compound 11b was
coupled to (S)-3-methyl-5-(pyrrolidin-2-yl)isoxazole (12) using the conditions and subsequent deprotection described for example 13a to yield ((R)-7-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-5-methyl-2-(trifluoromethyl)-4,7dihydropyrazolo $[1,5-a]$ pyrimidin-6-yl) ((S)-2-(3-methylisoxazol-5-yl)-pyrrolidin-1-yl)methanone in $36 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD})$ $\delta 7.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.14$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.69(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.13(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{dt}, J=10.8,7.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.17(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.31(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}) \delta 173.55,161.44,142.27,141.58,133.58,133.34$, 132.06, 130.39, 128.80, 128.17, 126.58, 103.04, 102.77, 86.17, 61.90, 49.69, 49.47, 49.26, 49.05, 48.83, 48.62, 48.41, 16.88, 11.29. HPLC: purity $98 \%$, retention time 9.83 min , method B; purity $98 \%$, retention time 8.92 min , method C. LCMS: $[\mathrm{M}+1] 526.2$

4-(tert-Butoxycarbonyl)-2-cyclopropyl-7-(3,4-dichlorophen-yl)-5-methyl-4,7-dihydropyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-6-carboxylic Acid (11f). Intermediate methyl 2-cyclopropyl-7-(3,4-dichloro-phenyl)-5-methyl-4,7-dihydropyrazolo [1,5-a] pyrimidine-6-carboxylate. To a solution of 3-cyclopropyl-1H-pyrazol-5-amine ( $1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 8.1 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in THF ( 50 mL ) was added 3,4-dichlorobenzaldehyde ( $1.4 \mathrm{~g}, 8.1 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and methyl 3-oxobutanoate ( $0.94 \mathrm{~g}, 8.1 \mathrm{~mol}$ ). Heptane ( 10 mL ) and piperidine $(0.020 \mathrm{mg}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added, and the solution was heated to $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 h . The cooled reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo and the residue redissolved in THF and purified by silica gel chromatography gradient elution with $2: 1$ hexane:EtOAc. Methyl 2-cyclopropyl-7-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-5-methyl-4,7-dihydropyrazolo[1,5-a]-pyrimidine-6-carboxylate was isolated as a tan solid ( $1.7 \mathrm{~g}, 56 \%$ yield, $R_{\mathrm{f}}$ 0.2 in 2:1 hexane:EtOAc). HPLC: purity $99 \%$, retention time 9.42 min , method B; purity $100 \%$, retention time 8.57 min , method C. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}) \delta 7.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.38(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 2.44(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.91-1.48(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.02-0.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.71-0.46$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}\right) \delta 168.19,158.29,148.13,145.17$, 139.83, 133.08, 132.39, 131.51, 130.09, 127.74, 115.68, 97.20, 85.25, $59.82,51.45,19.43,10.18,8.50,8.30$. LCMS [M + 1] 378.19

Methyl 2-cyclopropyl-7-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-5-methyl-4,7-dihydro-pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-6-carboxylate was treated with BOC anhydride and the methyl ester hydrolyzed as described for example 11a to yield 4-(tert-butoxycarbonyl)-2-cyclopropyl-7-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-5-methyl-4,7-dihydropyrazolo [1,5-a] pyrimidine-6-carboxylic acid (11f) as an orange foam ( $0.71 \mathrm{~g}, 82 \%$ yield for 2 steps). HPLC: purity $99 \%$, retention time 11.18 min , method B; purity $99 \%$, retention time 9.80 min , method C. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}) \delta 7.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{t}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz} \mathrm{1H}), 6.32(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.70$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.97-1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.58(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.02-0.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.78-0.60$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}\right) \delta 157.76,151.09,141.72,138.79$, 132.13, 129.20, 127.36, 117.96, 107.21, 94.44, 89.14, 86.22, 75.48, 59.75, 28.22, 21.27, 13.35, 10.24, 8.51. LCMS: [M+1] 478.15.
((R)-2-Cyclopropyl-7-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-5-methyl-4,7-dihydropyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-6-yl)((S)-2-(3-methylisoxa-zol-5-yl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)methanone (13f). Compound 11f was coupled to (S)-3-methyl-5-(pyrrolidin-2-yl)isoxazole (12) using the conditions and subsequent deprotection described for example 13a to yield a $1: 1$ diasteromeric mixture of (2-cyclopropyl-7-(3,4-dichlor-ophenyl)-5-methyl-4,7-dihydropyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-6-yl)((S)-2-(3-methylisoxazol-5-yl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)methanone in $51 \%$ yield. The diastereomers were separated using prep TLC $(25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 1$ mm thickness) elution with $1: 1$ hexane:EtOAc ( $10 \% \mathrm{IPA}$ ). The $R_{\mathrm{f}}$ values for the diastereomers were 0.60 for $((R)-2$-cyclopropyl-7-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-5-methyl-4,7-dihydropyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-6-yl)-((S)-2-(3-methylisoxazol-5-yl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)methanone and 0.35 for ( (S)-2-cyclopropyl-7-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-5-methyl-4,7dihydropyrazolo $[1,5-a]$ pyrimidin-6-yl) ((S)-2-(3-methylisoxazol-5-yl)-pyrrolidin-1-yl)methanone, which were isolated as white solids in yields of $31 \%$ and $22 \%$, respectively. Analytical data for $((R)-2$-cyclo-propyl-7-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-5-methyl-4,7-dihydropyrazolo[1,5-a]-pyrimidin-6-yl) ((S)-2-(3-methylisoxazol-5-yl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)methanone. HPLC: purity $99 \%$, retention time 8.96 min , method B; purity $98 \%$, retention time 8.90 min , method C. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\mathrm{MeOD}) \delta 7.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.96$ $(\mathrm{dt}, J=6.7,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.07-5.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.02(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
$3.96-3.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.59(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.2,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.23(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.91$ $(\mathrm{s}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.92-0.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.86-0.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $0.66-0.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{THF}$ ) $\delta$ 170.49, 168.43, 164.23, 158.03, 151.52, 146.01, 140.87, 139.28, 129.71, 127.87, 126.84, 124.75, 100.27, 99.18, 95.09, 80.49, 65.03, 64.81, 64.59, 64.37, 64.15, 57.39, 51.24, 28.71, 27.10, 22.90, 22.70, 22.50, 22.31, 22.11, 14.07, 8.44, 7.37, 5.27. LCMS: $[\mathrm{M}+1] 498.17$.

7-(Benzo[d]thiazol-2-yl)-4-(tert-butoxycarbonyl)-5-methyl-2-(trifluoromethyl)-4,7-dihydropyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-6-carboxylic Acid (11g). Intermediate methyl 7-(benzo[d]thiazol-2-yl)-5-methyl-2-(trifluoromethyl)-4,7-dihydropyrazolo[1,5-a] pyrimidine-6-carboxylate. Methyl 7-(benzo[d]thiazol-2-yl)-5-methyl-2-(trifluoro-methyl)-4,7-dihydropyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-6-carboxylate was prepared from the condensation of benzo[d]thiazole-2-carbaldehyde, 3-(trifluoromethyl)-1H-pyrazol-5-amine, and methyl 3-oxobutanoate as described in example 11a. The solvents from the reaction mixture were removed in vacuo and the residue dissolved in MeOH . The resulting white precipitate was collected and dried to yield methyl 7 -(benzo-[d]thiazol-2-yl)-5-methyl-2-(trifluoromethyl)-4,7-dihydropyrazolo[1,5a] pyrimidine-6-carboxylate in $61 \%$ yield. HPLC: purity $97 \%$; retention time 2.31 min ; method A. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 9.91(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.96-7.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.88-7.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.22(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. LCMS [M + 1] 395.22.

Methyl 7-(benzo[d]thiazol-2-yl)-5-methyl-2-(trifluoromethyl)-4,7-dihydropyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-6-carboxylate was treated with BOC anhydride and the methyl ester hydrolyzed as described for example 11a to yield 7-(benzo[d]thiazol-2-yl)-4-(tert-butoxycarbonyl)-5-methyl-2-(trifluoromethyl)-4,7-dihydropyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-6carboxylic acid $(\mathbf{1 1 g})$ in $95 \%$ yield. HPLC: purity $100 \%$, retention time 10.92 min , method B; purity $100 \%$, retention time 9.69 min , method C. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.59(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.67(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.59(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$. LCMS $[\mathrm{M}+1] 481.32 .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}) \delta 168.14,167.07,153.94,150.56,149.98$, 139.79, 136.57, 127.70, 127.08, 124.30, 123.10, 116.02, 96.51, 86.80, 59.48, 44.51, 28.21, 21.19, -1.95. LCMS [M + 1] 480.9.
((S)-7-(Benzo[d]thiazol-2-yl)-5-methyl-2-(trifluoromethyl)-4,7-dihydropyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-6-yl)((S)-2-(3-methylisox-azol-5-yl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)methanone (13g). Compound 11 g was coupled to (S)-3-methyl-5-(pyrrolidin-2-yl)isoxazole (12) using the conditions and subsequent deprotection described for example 13a to yield a $1: 1$ diasteromeric mixture of 7-(benzo[d]thiazol-2-yl)-5-methyl-2-(trifluoromethyl)-4,7-dihydropyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-6-yl)((S)-2-(3-methylisoxazol-5-yl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)methanone. The diastereomers were separated using silica gel chromatography gradient elution with $10 \%$ EtOAc in hexane to $100 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ over 20 min . The retention times for the diastereomers were 14 min for $((R)$-7-(benzo[d]thiazol-2-yl)-5-methyl-2-(trifluoromethyl)-4,7-dihydropyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-6-yl) ((S)-2-(3-methylisoxazol-5-yl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)methanone and 17.5 min for ((S)-7-(benzo[d]thiazol-2-yl)-5-methyl-2-(trifluoromethyl)-4, 7-dihydropyrazolo[1,5-a] pyrimidin-6-yl)((S)-2-(3-methylisoxazol-5-yl)-pyrrolidin-1-yl)methanone, which were isolated as white solids in yields of $53 \%$ and $50 \%$, respectively. Analytical data for ((S)-7-(benzo[d]-thiazol-2-yl)-5-methyl-2-(trifluoromethyl)-4,7-dihydropyrazolo[1,5-a]-pyrimidin-6-yl) ((S)-2-(3-methylisoxazol-5-yl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)methanone. HPLC: purity $98 \%$, retention time 10.05 min , method B; purity $99 \%$, retention time 9.35 min , method C. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.34$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.46(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.03(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.83(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.31$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.77-3.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.52-3.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.21$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.08(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.93(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}\right) \delta$ 168.74, 150.61, 140.60, 133.00, 124.52, 123.77, 121.37, 120.20, 100.08, 97.67, 83.24, 57.62, 51.95, 21.89, 13.41, 8.23, -3.81. LCMS [M + 1] 515.31.

4-(tert-Butoxycarbonyl)-5-methyl-7-(1-methyl-1H-benzo[d]-imidazol-2-yl)-2-(trifluoromethyl)-4,7-dihydropyrazolo[1,5-a]-pyrimidine-6-carboxylic Acid (11h). Intermediate methyl 5-methyl-7-(1-methyl-1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl)-2-(trifluoromethyl)-4,7-dihydropyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-6-carboxylate. Methyl 5-methyl-7-(1-methyl-1 H -benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl)-2-(trifluoromethyl)-4,7-dihydropyrazolo[1,5-a] pyrimidine-6-carboxylate was prepared from the
condensation of 1 -methyl-1H-benzo[d]imidazole-2-carbaldehyde, 3-(trifluoromethyl)-1H-pyrazol-5-amine, and methyl 3-oxobutanoate as described in example 11a. The solvents from the reaction mixture were removed in vacuo and the residue dissolved in MeOH . The resulting white precipitate was collected and dried to yield methyl 5-methyl-7-(1-methyl-1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl)-2-(trifluoromethyl)-4,7-dihydropyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-6-carboxylate in $56 \%$ yield. HPLC: purity $87 \%$, retention time 2.99 min , method A. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 12.61($ brs, 1 H$), 7.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.35 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.35 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{t}, J=7.69 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{t}, J=7.69 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.78(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.21(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.56(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. LCMS: [ $\mathrm{M}+1] 392.29$.

Methyl 5-methyl-7-(1-methyl-1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl)-2-(tri-fluoromethyl)-4,7-dihydropyrazolo[1,5-a] pyrimidine-6-carboxylate was treated with BOC anhydride and the methyl ester hydrolyzed as described for example 11a to yield $\mathbf{1 1 h}$ in $50 \%$ yield. HPLC: purity $94 \%$, retention time 3.13 min , method A. HPLC: purity $96 \%$, retention time 8.91 min , method B ; purity $100 \%$, retention time 8.72 min , method C. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.35 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.30-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.21-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.47(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.99(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.71(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.57(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$. LCMS $[\mathrm{M}+1] 478.36 .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{THF}$ ) $\delta 165.45,150.34,149.16,148.68,142.77$, 138.37, 135.99, 122.38, 121.57, 119.66, 114.15, 109.73, 95.21, 84.17, 52.53, 29.42, 27.22, 20.20. LCMS: $[M+1] 477.9$.
((S)-5-Methyl-7-(1-methyl-1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl)-2-(tri-fluoromethyl)-4,7-dihydropyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-6-yl)((S)-2-(3-methylisoxazol-5-yl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)methanone (13h). Compound 11h was coupled to (S)-3-methyl-5-(pyrrolidin-2-yl)isoxazole (12) using the conditions and subsequent deprotection described for example 13a to yield a $1: 1$ diasteromeric mixture of (5-methyl-7-(1-methyl-1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl)-2-(trifluoromethyl)-4,7-dihydropyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-6-yl)((S)-2-(3-methylisoxazol-5-yl)-pyrrolidin-1-yl)methanone. The diastereomers were separated using silica gel chromatography gradient elution with $25 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexane to $100 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ over 20 min . The retention times for the diastereomers were 15 min for ( $(R)$-5-methyl-7-(1-methyl-1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl)-2-(trifluoromethyl)-4,7-dihydropyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-6-yl)((S)-2-(3-methylisoxazol-5-yl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)methanone and 21.5 min for ((S)-5-methyl-7-(1-methyl-1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl)-2-(trifluoro-methyl)-4,7-dihydropyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-6-yl)((S)-2-(3-methyl-isoxazol-5-yl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)methanone, which were isolated as white solids in yields of $30 \%$ and $28 \%$, respectively. Analytical data for ((S)-5-methyl-7-(1-methyl-1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl)-2-(trifluorometh-yl)-4,7-dihydropyrazolo [1,5-a] pyrimidin-6-yl)((S)-2-(3-methylisoxazol-$5-\mathrm{yl})$ pyrrolidin-1-yl)methanone is as follows. HPLC: purity $96 \%$, retention time 6.87 min , method B; purity $96 \%$, retention time 7.74 min , method C. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz},) \delta 7.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.44-$ $6.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.47(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.69(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.25$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.11-1.94(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.58(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{THF}) \delta 120.60,119.89,117.59,107.95,51.84,27.67,14.27,7.72$. LCMS: $[\mathrm{M}+1] 512.36$

4-(tert-Butoxycarbonyl)-5-methyl-7-(1-methyl-1H-indol-2-yl)-2-(trifluoromethyl)-4,7-dihydropyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-6-carboxylic acid (11i). Intermediate methyl 5-methyl-7-(1-methyl-1H-indol-2-yl)-2-(trifluoromethyl)-4,7-dihydropyrazolo[1,5-a]-pyrimidine-6-carboxylate. Methyl 5-methyl-7-(1-methyl-1 H -indol-2-yl)-2-(trifluoromethyl)-4,7-dihydropyrazolo[1,5-a] pyrimidine-6-carboxylate was prepared from the condensation of 1 -methyl- 1 H -indole-2-carbaldehyde, 3-(trifluoromethyl)-1H-pyrazol-5-amine, and methyl 3-oxobutanoate as described in example 11a. The solvents from the reaction mixture were removed in vacuo and the residue dissolved in MeOH . The resulting white precipitate was collected and dried to yield methyl 5-methyl-7-(1-methyl-1H-indol-2-yl)-2-(trifluoromethyl)-4,7-dihydropyrazolo[1,5-a]-pyrimidine-6-carboxylate in $55 \%$ yield. HPLC: purity $99 \%$, retention time 1.94 min , method A. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.35 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.07-7.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.76(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.67(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.47(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. LCMS: $[M+1] 391.26$.

Methyl 5-methyl-7-(1-methyl-1H-indol-2-yl)-2-(trifluoromethyl)-4,7-dihydropyrazolo[1,5-a] pyrimidine-6-carboxylate was treated with BOc anhydride and the methyl ester hydrolyzed as described for example 11a to yield 4-(tert-butoxycarbonyl)-5-methyl-7-(1-methyl$1 H$-indol-2-yl)-2-(trifluoromethyl)-4,7-dihydropyrazolo[1,5-a]-pyrimidine-6-carboxylic acid (11i) in 73\% yield. HPLC: purity $95 \%$, retention time 3.82 min , method A. HPLC: purity $100 \%$, retention time 10.95 min , method B ; purity $100 \%$, retention time 9.76 min , method C. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.91 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.33-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{t}, J=7.47 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04(\mathrm{t}, J=7.25 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.74(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.37(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.72$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.56(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, THF) $\delta$ 163.48, 147.38, 144.32, 135.91, 135.09, 125.52, 124.53, 119.84, 117.66, 117.46, 115.33, 109.52, 107.21, 92.72, 82.43, 52.11, 29.85, 25.21,18.02. LCMS: $[\mathrm{M}+1]$ 477.36.
((S)-5-Methyl-7-(1-methyl-1H-indol-2-yl)-2-(trifluoromethyl)-4,7-dihydropyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-6-yl)((S)-2-(3-methylisox-azol-5-yl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)methanone (13i). Compound 11i was coupled to (S)-3-methyl-5-(pyrrolidin-2-yl)isoxazole (12) using the conditions and subsequent deprotection described for example 11 to yield a 1:1 diasteromeric mixture of 5-methyl-7-(1-methyl-1 H -indol-2-yl)-2-(trifluoromethyl)-4,7-dihydropyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-6-yl)-((S)-2-(3-methylisoxazol-5-yl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)methanone. The diastereomers were separated using silica gel chromatography gradient elution with $10 \%$ EtOAc in hexane to $50 \%$ EtOAc over 20 min . The retention times for the diastereomers were 13 min for $((R)-5$-methyl-7-(1-methyl-1 H -indol-2-yl)-2-(trifluoromethyl)-4,7-dihydropyrazolo[1,5-a] pyrimidin-6-yl)-((S)-2-(3-methylisoxazol-5-yl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)methanone and 16 min for ((S)-5-methyl-7-(1-methyl-1H-indol-2-yl)-2-(trifluoromethyl)-4,7dihydropyrazolo $[1,5-a]$ pyrimidin-6-yl) ((S)-2-(3-methylisoxazol-5$\mathrm{yl})$ pyrrolidin-1-yl)methanone, which were isolated as yellow solids in yields of $36 \%$ and $32 \%$, respectively. Analytical data for $((S)-5-$ methyl-7-(1-methyl-1H-indol-2-yl)-2-(trifluoromethyl)-4,7dihydropyrazolo $[1,5-a]$ pyrimidin-6-yl) ((S)-2-(3-methylisoxazol-5-yl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)methanone. HPLC: purity 95\%, retention time 9.39 min , method B ; purity $94 \%$, retention time 8.67 min , method C. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \delta 7.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{t}, J=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{ddd}, J=24.1,12.6,9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{dt}, J=16.1,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.44(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.01$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.60(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.54(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.03(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.98(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ) $\delta 185.44$, 182.95, 173.53, 166.86, 162.84, 141.31, 140.33, 138.39, 132.08, 127.62, 123.07, 121.60, 120.75, 118.30, 110.61, 103.61, 102.18, 98.30, 86.00, 54.67, 47.31, 38.51, 30.31, 17.15, 11.41. LCMS: $[M+1]$ 511.41.

4-(tert-Butoxycarbonyl)-5-(methoxymethyl)-7-(1-methyl-1H-indol-2-yl)-2-(trifluoromethyl)-4,7-dihydropyrazolo[1,5-a]-pyrimidine-6-carboxylic Acid (11j). To a solution of 3-(trifluor-omethyl)-1H-pyrazol-5-amine $(2.4 \mathrm{~g}, 16 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(8 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added 1-methyl- 1 H -indole-2-carbaldehyde $(2.5 \mathrm{~g}, 16 \mathrm{mmol})$ and methyl 3 -oxobutanoate ( $2.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mL}, 16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Heptane $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and piperidine ( $78 \mathrm{uL}, 0.79 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added, and the solution was heated to $75{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 120 h . The cooled reaction mixture was purified directly using silica gel chromatography gradient elution with 20-80\% EtOAc in hexane over 40 min to yield methyl 5-(methoxymethyl)-7-(1-methyl-1H-indol-2-yl)-2-(trifluoromethyl)-4,7-dihydropyrazolo[1,5-a]-pyrimidine-6-carboxylate as a white powder $(2.3 \mathrm{~g}, 35 \%$ yield, $95 \%$ purity, retention time 3.43 min , method A). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.99(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.69(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.90(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.90$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.06(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.59(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.60(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. To a slurry of methyl 5-(methoxymethyl)-7-(1-methyl-1H-indol-2-yl)-2-(trifluoromethyl)-4,7-dihydropyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-6-carboxylate ( $2.3 \mathrm{~g}, 5.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added BOC anhydride $(1.4 \mathrm{~g}, 6.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ and DMAP ( $33 \mathrm{mg}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The solution was stirred at room temperature for 2 h and then water $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{LiOH}(0.23 \mathrm{~g}$, 5.5 mmol ) added. After 14 h , additional LiOH was added ( 0.46 g , 11 mmol ) and the solution stirred for an additional 14 h . The reaction mixture was neutralized by the addition of 1 M HCl and the aqueous portion extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic portions were
dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, decanted, and concentrated to yield 4-(tert-butoxycarbonyl)-5-(methoxymethyl)-7-(1-methyl-1H-indol-2-yl)-2-(trifluoromethyl)-4,7-dihydropyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-6-carboxylic acid as a brown solid ( $2.5 \mathrm{~g}, 100 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\mathrm{MeOD}) \delta 7.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.06-6.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.54(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.37(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.24(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=15.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.61(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}\right) \delta 167.27,150.90,148.29$, 139.77, 138.78, 136.29, 128.24, 123.64, 121.71, 120.96, 117.60, 110.77, $101.89,95.29,86.40,69.88,58.83,54.24,30.66,28.12$. HPLC: purity $90 \%$, retention time 11.38 min , method B; purity $91 \%$, retention time 9.96 min, method C. LCMS: $[M+1] 507.0$.
(S)-3-Methyl-5-(pyrrolidin-2-yl)isoxazole (12). Propan-2-one oxime ( $0.42 \mathrm{~g}, 5.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in THF ( 10 mL ), and $\mathrm{nBuLi}(4.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.5 \mathrm{M}$ solution in hexane, 11 mmol ) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min , cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and (S)-1-benzyl 2-methyl pyrrolidine-1,2-dicarboxylate ( $1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 3.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the solution stirred for 1.5 h . Concentrated $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ was added dropwise ( 3.5 mL ), and the reaction mixture was stirred for an additional 1.5 h and poured cautiously into a $1: 1$ mixture of ice and $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$. The aqueous portion was extracted with ether and the combined organic portions dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, decanted, and concentrated to yield intermediate ( $S$ )-benzyl 2-(3-methylisoxazol-5-yl)pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate, which was purified by silica gel chromatography elution with hexane:acetone (3:1) as a yellow oil ( $0.55 \mathrm{~g}, 51 \%$ yield, $93 \%$ purity retention time 1.99 min ). ( $S$ )-Benzyl 2-(3-methylisoxazol-5yl) pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate ( $0.39 \mathrm{~g}, 1.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in DCM $(8 \mathrm{~mL})$, and triflic acid $(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 6.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise and the solution stirred for 15 min . Water was added, followed by NaOH (1M), until the pH was adjusted to pH 8 . The organic layer was separated and the aqueous portion extracted further with DCM . The combined organic portions were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, decanted, and concentrated and the residue purified by silica gel chromatography elution with DCM:MeOH (10:1) yielding ( $S$ )-3-methyl-5-(pyrrolidin2 -yl)isoxazole as a colorless oil ( $0.87 \mathrm{~g}, 42 \%$ yield). To prevent decomposition, (S)-3-methyl-5-(pyrrolidin-2-yl)isoxazole was stored as the NBS monosalt. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}) \delta 7.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.52(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.91(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.47 (dd, $J=10.3,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.60-2.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.31$ (s, 3H), 2.32-2.26 (m, 2H), 2.29-2.09 (m, 1H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , MeOD) $\delta 167.03,162.03,143.53,141.84,129.92,126.99,106.35,55.55$, $47.02,30.12,24.66,21.37,11.23$. HPLC: purity $99 \%$, retention time 4.17 min, method B; purity $95 \%$, retention time 2.12 min , method C. LCMS: $[M+1] 152.9$.
((S)-5-(Methoxymethyl)-7-(1-methyl-1H-indol-2-yl)-2-(tri-fluoromethyl)-4,7-dihydropyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-6-yl)((S)-2-(3-methylisoxazol-5-yl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)methanone (13j). To compound $11 \mathrm{j}(0.44 \mathrm{~g}, 0.87 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DCM $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added EDCI ( $0.18 \mathrm{~g}, 0.95 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and ( $($ )-3-methyl-5-(pyrrolidin-2-yl)isoxazole $(0.13 \mathrm{~g}, 8.7 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h and then purified directly by silica gel chromatography gradient elution with $0-100 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexane over 18 min to yield a diastereomeric mixture of tert-butyl 5-(methoxymethyl)-7-(1-methyl-1H-indol-2-yl)-6-((S)-2-(3-methylisoxazol-5-yl)pyrrolidine-1-carbon-yl)-2-(trifluoromethyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-4(7H)-carboxylate as a yellow powder $(0.38 \mathrm{~g}, 69 \%$ yield, $95 \%$ purity at retention time 2.41 min , method A). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\delta 7.51(\mathrm{t}, J=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13-6.99(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.53(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.43(\mathrm{dt}, J=24.3,12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $22.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.34-5.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.82(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=12.0,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.74(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.1,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.37-4.19(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.16-3.93(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.92(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.82-3.43(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=24.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.29-2.17$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.19-1.97(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.57(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.58(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$. tert-Butyl 5-(methoxymethyl)-7-(1-methyl-1H-indol-2-yl)-6-((S)-2-(3-methylisox-azol-5-yl) pyrrolidine-1-carbonyl)-2-(trifluoromethyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]-pyrimidine- $4(7 \mathrm{H})$-carboxylate $(0.38 \mathrm{~g}, 0.59 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in $\mathrm{AcOH}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and heated to $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a microwave reactor for 2 min . The solution was neutralized by the addition of satd $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and the aqueous solution extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic
extracts were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, decanted, and concentrated to yield (5-(methoxymethyl)-7-(1-methyl-1H-indol-2-yl)-2-(trifluoromethyl)-4,7-dihydropyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-6-yl) ((S)-2-(3-methylisoxazol5 -yl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)methanone as a $1: 1$ mixture of diastereomers. The diastereomers were separated by silica gel chromatography gradient elution with $40-100 \%$ EtOAc in hexane over 20 min . ( $(S)-5-$ (Methoxymethyl)-7-(1-methyl-1H-indol-2-yl)-2-(trifluoromethyl)-4,7-dihydropyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-6-yl)((S)-2-(3-methylisoxazol-5-yl)-pyrrolidin-1-yl)methanone (13j) was isolated as the more polar isomer as a tan powder $(0.074 \mathrm{~g}, 24 \%$ yield $) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, 55{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz} \mathrm{1H}), 7.06(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz} \mathrm{1H}), 6.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.49(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.48(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.11(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.66(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.41(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.16(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.91(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 171.36,165.50,159.72$, 138.72, 138.20, 136.00, 126.88, 122.34, 121.03, 119.84, 109.46, 103.14, $102.33,101.93,85.90,68.29,58.90,53.92,47.02,31.55,31.15,29.91$, 22.64, 14.08, 11.30. HPLC: purity $98 \%$, retention time 7.16 min , Zorbax SB C18, $4.6 \mathrm{~mm} \times 75 \mathrm{~mm}, 2.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ gradient $10-100 \%$ 95:5 MeOH in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(0.1 \% \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)$ in $5: 95 \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.1 \%$ $\left.\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)$. HRMS: $[\mathrm{M}+1]$ obsd 541.21847 calcd 541.21695. Elemental analysis: C, H, N, F theoretical \% 59.99, 5.03, 15.54, 10.54; obsd \% $60.00,4.95,15.50,10.16$. The absolute stereochemistry at the C 7 position was confirmed by the X-ray structure included in the Supporting Information.

## - ASSOCIATED CONTENT

## (5) Supporting Information

Absolute stereochemistry at the C7 position confirmed by the X-ray structure. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

## AUTHOR INFORMATION

## Corresponding Author

*For H.J.F.: phone, 609-818-3734; fax, 609-818-3550; E-mail, heather.finlay@bms.com. For J.L.: phone, 609-818-5327; fax, 609-818-3550; E-mail, john.lloyd@bms.com.

## Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.
${ }^{\perp}$ Deceased December 29, 2006.
\#Deceased September 16, 2008.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Purnima Khandelwal for NMR support and Carolyn Pommier, Michael Galella, and Doree Sitkoff for crystallography support. We also thank Robert Languish for high resolution mass spectrometry support.

## ABBREVIATIONS USED

AERP, atrial effective refractory period; VERP, ventricular effective refractory period; AF, atrial fibrillation; GSH, glutathione

## REFERENCES

(1) Go, A.; Hylek, E.; Phillips, K.; Chang, Y.; Henault, L.; Selby, J.; Singer, D. Prevalence of diagnosed atrial fibrillation in adults: national implications for rhythm management and stroke prevention: the AnTicoagulation and Risk Factors in Atrial Fibrillation (ATRIA) Study. JAMA, J. Am. Med. Assoc. 2001, 285, 2370-2375.
(2) Miyasaka, Y.; Barnes, M. E.; Gersh, B. J.; Cha, S. S.; Bailey, K. R.; Abhayaratna, W. P.; Seward, J. B.; Tsang, T. S. M. Secular trends in incidence of atrial fibrillation in Olmsted County, Minnesota, 1980 to 2000, and implications on the projections for future prevalence. Circulation 2006, 114, 119-125.
(3) Hart, R. G.; Halperin, J. L. Atrial fibrillation and stroke: concepts and controversies. Stroke 2001, 32, 803-808.
(4) Janko, S.; Dorwarth, U.; Hoffmann, E. Pharmacotherapy of atrial fibrillation: an old option with new possibilities. Expert Opin. Pharmacother. 2008, 9, 913-925.
(5) Sven, K.; Dierk, T.; Karle, C. A. The novel antiarrhythmic drug dronedarone: comparison with amiodarone. Cardiovasc. Drug Rev. 2005, 23, 217-230.
(6) Chong, P. H.; Cory, F.; Yim, B. T. Treatment of Atrial Fibrillation and Flutter: Focus on Oral Dofetilide, a New Selective Class III Antiarrhythmic Agent. HeartDrug 2001, 1, 320-331.
(7) Wang, Z.; Page, P.; Nattel, S. Mechanism of flecainide's antiarrhythmic action in experimental atrial fibrillation. Circ. Res. 1992, 71, 271-287.
(8) Hohnloser, S. H.; van de Loo, A.; Baedeker, F. Efficacy and proarrhythmic hazards of pharmacologic cardioversion of atrial fibrillation: prospective comparison of sotalol versus quinidine. J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. 1995, 26, 852-858.
(9) De Bruin, M. L.; Hoes, A. W.; Leufkens, H. G. QTc-Prolonging Drugs and Hospitalizations for Cardiac Arrhythmias. Am. J. Cardiol. 2003, 91, 59-62.
(10) Nattel, S. New ideas about atrial fibrillation 50 years on. Nature 2002, 415, 219-226.
(11) Ford, J. W.; Milnes, J. T. New Drugs Targeting the Cardiac Ultra-Rapid Delayed-Rectifier Current (IKur): Rationale, Pharmacology and Evidence for Potential Therapeutic Value. J. Cardiovasc. Pharmacol. 2008, 52 (2), 105-120.
(12) Lloyd, J; Finlay, H. J.; Atwal, K; Kover, A; Prol, J; Yan, L; Bhandaru, R; Vaccaro, W; Huynh, T; Huang, C. S.; Conder, M; Jenkins-West, T; Sun, H; Li, D; Levesque, P. Dihydropyrazolopyrimidines containing benzimidazoles as KV1.5 potassium channel antagonists. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2009, 19 (18), 5469-5473.
(13) Lloyd, J; Finlay, H. J.; Vacarro, W; Hyunh, T; Kover, A; Bhandaru, R; Yan, L; Atwal, K; Conder, M; Jenkins-West, T; Shi, H.; Huang, C; Li, D; Sun, H; Levesque, P. Pyrrolidine amides of pyrazolodihydropyrimidines as potent and selective KV1.5 blockers. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2010, 20 (4), 1436-1439.
(14) Vacarro, W.; Huynh, T.; Lloyd, J.; Atwal, K. S.; Finlay, H. J.; Levesque, P. C.; Conder, M. L.; Jenkins-West, T.; Shi, H.; Sun, L. Dihydropyrazolopyrimidine inhibitors of KV1.5 (I Kur). Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2008, I18 (24), 6381-6385.
(15) Compounds incubated ( $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min in 0.1 M potassium phosphate buffer containing 2 mM NADPH, 2 mM dansyl glutathione, and $2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ human liver microsomes. The reaction was quenched with 2 volumes of 5 mM dithiothreitol in methanol then vortexed and centrifuged. $60 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the supernatant was analyzed by HPLC equipped with a fluorescence detector ( $\mathrm{Ex}=340 \mathrm{~nm}, \mathrm{Em}=$ 525 nm ).
(16) Treiber, A.; Dansette, P. M.; Hamid, E.; Girault, J.-P.; Ginderow, D.; Mornon, J.-P.; Mansuy, D. Chemical and Biological Oxidation of Thiophene: Preparation and Complete Characterization of Thiophene $S$-Oxide Dimers and Evidence for Thiophene $S$-Oxide as an Intermediate in Thiophene Metabolism in Vivo and in Vitro. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 1565-1571.
(17) Nassar, A. F.; Lopez-Anaya, A. Strategies for dealing with reactive intermediates in drug discovery and development. Curr. Opin. Drug Discovery Dev. 2004, 7, 126-136.
(18) Reimlinger, H.; Van Overstraeten, A. Reactions of 3(5)diazopyrazole. II. Chem. Ber. 1966, 99, 3350-3357.
(19) Kirk, K. L.; Cohen, L. A. Photochemistry of diazonium salts. I. Synthesis of 4-fluoroimidazoles, 4-fluorohistamine, and 4-fluorohistidine. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1973, 95, 4619-4624.
(20) Janssen, J. W. A. M.; Koeners, H. J.; Kruse, C. G.; Habraken, C. L. Pyrazoles. XII. Preparation of 3(5)-nitropyrazoles by thermal rearrangement of $N$-nitropyrazoles. J. Org. Chem. 1973, 38, 17771782.
(21) Garvey, D. S.; Wasicak, J. T.; Elliott, R. L.; Lebold, S.; Hettinger, A.; Carrera, G. M.; Lin, N.; He, Y.; Holladay, M. W. Ligands for Brain Cholinergic Channel Receptors: Synthesis and in Vitro Characterization of Novel Isoxazoles and Isothiazoles as Bioisosteric Replace-
ments for the Pyridine Ring in Nicotine. J. Med. Chem. 1994, 37 (26), 4455-4463.
(22) Synders, D. J.; Tamakun, M. N.; Bennett, P. B. A rapidly activating and slowly inactivating potassium channel cloned from human heart: functional analysis after stable mammalian cell culture expression. J. Gen. Physiol. 1993, 101, 513-543.
(23) Zhou, Z.; Vorperian, V. R.; Gong, Q.; Zhang, S. and January, C.T. Block of HERG potassium channels by the antihistamine astemizole and its metabolites desmethylastemizole and norastemizole. J. Cardiovasc. Electrophysiol. 1999, 10 (6), 836-843.
(24) Li, D.; Sun, H.; Levesque, P. Antiarrhythmic drug therapy for atrial fibrillation: focus on atrial selectivity and safety. Cardiovasc. Hematol. Agents Med. Chem. 2009, 7 (1), 64-75.
(25) Carlsson, Leif. The anaesthetised methoxamine-sensitised rabbit model of torsades de pointes. Pharmacol. Ther. 2008, 119 (2), 160167.

## NOTE ADDED AFTER ASAP PUBLICATION

After this paper was published online March 21, 2012, a correction was made to the TOC graphic and abstract graphic. The corrected version was reposted March 23, 2012.


[^0]:    Received: October 26, 2011
    Published: March 12, 2012

